# ELE 455/555 <br> Computer System Engineering 

## Section 4 - Parallel Processing Class 1 - Challenges

## Parallel Processing Introduction

- Motivation
- Desire to provide more performance (processing)
- Scaling a single processor is limited
- Clock speeds
- Power concerns
- Cost (yield)
- A group of multiple smaller processors used in parallel can resolve these concerns and provide additional flexibility
- Requires effective software to succeed


## Parallel Processing Introduction

- Perspective
- Run multiple independent programs on a group of processors
- Independent single-threaded applications

Task-level parallelism

- Single program running on a group of processors simultaneously

Parallel processing program

## Parallel Processing Introduction

- Definitions
- Multiple discrete processors


## Clusters

- Multiple processors in a single chip
- Individual processors are called Cores


## Multi-Core Processor

- These typically share a common physical memory

Shared Memory Processor (SMP)

## Parallel Processing Introduction

- Definitions
- Software that performs in a linear fashion
- Compiler, Motor Controller


## Sequential Software

- Software that can handle multiple tasks in parallel - OS, Circuit Simulators


## Concurrent Software

## Parallel Processing Introduction

- Hardware / Software Compatibility
- Need sequential software to run on both serial and parallel hardware
- The challenge with parallel hardware is to try to utilize the resources
- Need concurrent software to run on serial and parallel hardware
- The challenge with serial hardware is performance
- The challenge with parallel hardware is to utilize all the resources
- \# of parallel processors varies from system to system

|  | Software |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sequential |  | Concurrent |
| Hardware | Serial | Matrix Multiply written in MatLab <br> running on an Intel Pentium 4 | Windows Vista Operating System <br> running on an Intel Pentium 4 |
|  | Parallel | Matrix Multiply written in MATLAB <br> running on an Intel Core i7 | Windows Vista Operating System <br> running on an Intel Core i7 |

## Parallel Processing Challenges

- It is difficult to create parallel processing programs
- At the processor level (hardware) we have support via:
- Sub-word parallelism
- Superscalar hardware
- Instruction level parallelism
- Out-of-order, speculation
- Cache coherence


## Parallel Processing Challenges

- It is difficult to create parallel processing programs
- We need to create EFFICIENT parallel processing programs
- If the solution isn't efficient - just use a single processor
- If a single processor is not an option - still need efficiencies to offset cost, power, complexity
- Need: Faster, Lower Power


## Parallel Processing Challenges

- It is difficult to create parallel processing programs
- Many factors limit performance
- Partitioning
- Need equal size tasks, otherwise parts of the system are waiting
- Coordination
- Synchronizing between processors to share data
- Communications overhead
- The actual time to communicate
- Portions of the program that must be run sequentially


## Parallel Processing Challenges

- It is difficult to create parallel processing programs
- Example - desire a 90x speedup using 100 processors - what percentage of the program can be sequential

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\text {new }}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {parallelizable }} / 100+\mathrm{T}_{\text {sequential }}
$$

$$
\text { Speedup }=\frac{1}{\left(1-F_{\text {parallelizable }}\right)+F_{\text {parallelizable }} / 100}=90
$$

Solving: $F_{\text {parallelizable }}=0.999$

- Only $0.1 \%$ can be sequential


## Parallel Processing <br> Challenges

- It is difficult to create parallel processing programs
- Example - calculate 2 sums using 10 and 40 processors
a) 10 scalars - must be sequential
b) $10 \times 10$ matrix - parallelizable

If done entirely sequential
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 10 \times 10$ matrix $=100 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=110 \mathrm{t}$
Using 10 processors (only for the matrix) 10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 10 \times 10$ matrix $=100 \mathrm{t} / 10=10 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=20 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=110 \mathrm{t} / 20 \mathrm{t}=5.5$

Using 40 processors (only for the matrix)
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 10 \times 10$ matrix $=100 \mathrm{t} / 40=2.5 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=12.5 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed $-\mathrm{up}=110 \mathrm{t} / 12.5 \mathrm{t}=8.8$

- We quadrupled the number of processors and got less than $2 x$ speed-up


## Parallel Processing <br> Challenges

- It is difficult to create parallel processing programs
- Example - calculate 2 sums using 10 and 40 processors
a) 10 scalars - must be sequential
b) $20 \times 20$ matrix - parallelizable

If done entirely sequential
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 20 \times 20$ matrix $=400 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=410 \mathrm{t}$
Using 10 processors (only for the matrix)
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 20 \times 20$ matrix $=400 \mathrm{t} / 10=40 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=50 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=410 \mathrm{t} / 50 \mathrm{t}=8.2$
Using 40 processors (only for the matrix)
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 20 \times 20$ matrix $=400 \mathrm{t} / 40=10 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=20 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed $-\mathrm{up}=410 \mathrm{t} / 20 \mathrm{t}=20.5$

- We quadrupled the number of processors and got a little more than $2 x$ speed-up


## Parallel Processing Challenges

- 2 ways to measure the speedup associated with a parallel processing solution
- Strong Scaling
- Keep the problem size fixed while increasing parallelism
- Fixed customer base using a server farm
- Faster streaming to customer base
- Weak Scaling
- Increase the problem size with increasing parallelism
- ATM processing central office
- More customers, not more ATM transactions per customer


## Parallel Processing <br> Challenges

- Scaling Example - strong
- calculate 2 sums using 10 and 100 processors
a) 10 scalars - must be sequential
b) $10 \times 10$ matrix - parallelizable

If done entirely sequential
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 10 \times 10$ matrix $=100 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=110 \mathrm{t}$
Using 10 processors (only for the matrix) 10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 10 \times 10$ matrix $=100 \mathrm{t} / 10=10 t$
total $=20 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=110 \mathrm{t} / 20 \mathrm{t}=5.5$
Using 100 processors (only for the matrix)
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 10 \times 10$ matrix $=100 \mathrm{t} / 100=1 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=11 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=110 \mathrm{t} / 11 \mathrm{t}=10$

- We achieved $55 \%$ of the potential for 10 processors
- We achieved $10 \%$ of the potential for 100 processors


## Parallel Processing <br> Challenges

- Scaling Example - weak (100x100 matrix)
- calculate 2 sums using 10 and 100 processors
a) 10 scalars - must be sequential
b) $100 \times 100$ matrix - parallelizable

If done entirely sequential
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 100 \times 100$ matrix $=10,000 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=10,010 \mathrm{t}$
Using 10 processors (only for the matrix) 10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 100 \times 100$ matrix $=10,000 \mathrm{t} / 10=1000 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=1010 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=$ $10010 \mathrm{t} / 1010 \mathrm{t}=9.9$

Using 100 processors (only for the matrix)
10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 100 \times 100$ matrix $=10,000 \mathrm{t} / 100=100 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=110 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed $-\mathrm{up}=10,010 \mathrm{t} / 110 \mathrm{t}$ $=91$

- We achieved $99 \%$ of the potential for 10 processors
- We achieved $91 \%$ of the potential for 100 processors


## Parallel Processing <br> Challenges

- Balance Example
- Example - calculate 2 sums using 40 processors
a) 10 scalars - must be sequential
b) $20 \times 20$ matrix - parallelizable

AND - force 1 parallel processor to carry $2 x$ and $5 x$ the normal load
Using 40 processors (only for the matrix) and a balanced load 10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} 20 \times 20$ matrix $=400 \mathrm{t} / 40=10 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=20 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=410 \mathrm{t} / 20 \mathrm{t}=20.5$

With unbalanced load of $2 x$, remaining processor sit idle so just look at this case 10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 20 \times 20$ matrix $=\max [20 \mathrm{t} / 1,380 \mathrm{t} / 39]=20 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=30 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=410 \mathrm{t} / 30 \mathrm{t}=$ 14

With unbalanced load of $5 x$, remaining processor sit idle so just look at this case 10 scalars $=10 \mathrm{t} \quad 20 \times 20$ matrix $=\max [50 \mathrm{t} / 1,350 \mathrm{t} / 39]=50 \mathrm{t} \quad$ total $=60 \mathrm{t} \quad$ speed-up $=410 \mathrm{t} / 60 \mathrm{t}=$ 7

- The unbalanced load significantly limits the performance improvement


## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- 4 Basic Instruction / Data Configurations

|  |  | Data Streams |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Single | Multiple |
| Instruction <br> Streams | Single | SISD: <br> Intel Pentium 4 | SIMD: SSE <br> instructions of x86 |
|  | Multiple | MISD: <br> No examples today | MIMD: <br> Intel Xeon e5345 |

## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- MIMD
- While we could spread multiple programs across multiple processors in a MIMD system- the usual case is to spread a single program's instructions across multiple processors

Single Program Multiple Data (SPMD)

- Typical application for MIMD
- Use conditional statements to spread portions of the program to various processors
- May need a copy of the code for each processor


# Parallel Proces Instruction/Data Art 

- MIMD
- While we could spread processors in a MIMD program's instructions

Single Program Multip

- Typical application for M
- Use conditional statem various processors
- May need a copy of thi



## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- SIMD
- Very much like SISD
- Execution of a single instruction across multiple processors using vector data
- One PC, n register sets
- Program looks just like a sequential program
- One copy of the code


## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- Vector Architecture
- Old days - array of processors
- Now - large register set feeding a pipelined execution unit
- e.g. 32 vector registers, each with 64, 64bit words
- Reduces overhead code
- loops reduced
- Reduces potential hazards
- Reduces fetch bandwidth
- Reduces data bandwidth
- Data with-in a vector must be independent


## Parallel Processing <br> Instruction/Data Architectures

- Vector Architecture
- $Y=(a \times X)+Y$
- Conventional MIPS code



## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- Vector Architecture
- $Y=(a \times X)+Y$
- Vector MIPS code

| 1.d | $\$ f 0, a(\$ s p)$ | ;load scalar a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lv | $\$ v 1,0(\$ s 0)$ | ;load vector x |
| mulvs.d | $\$ v 2, \$ v 1, \$$ fo | ;vector-scalar multiply |
| $7 v$ | $\$ v 3,0(\$ s 1)$ | ;load vector y |
| addv.d | $\$ v 4, \$ 2, \$ v 3$ | ;add y to product |
| sv | $\$ v 4,0(\$ s 1)$ | ;store the result |

## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- Vector Architecture
- Strided Access
- Read every nth element from memory to place in the vector register
- Replaces a n iteration loop
- Gather-scatter
- Read the vector values from around the memory (gather)
- Store the results around the memory (scatter)


## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

- Vector Architecture
- Lanes
- Parallel combinations of vector pipelines

(a)

(b)


## Parallel Processing Instruction/Data Architectures

## - Vector Architecture

## - Lanes

- Parallel combinations of vector pipelines


